

# Spring Members' Council 2016

## Title:

Response	Chart	Percentage	Count
Board Member		62.9%	22
Administrator		34.3%	12
Other		2.9%	1
		<b>Total Responses</b>	<b>35</b>

## Overall Rating:

	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Responses
	0 (0.0%)	3 (8.8%)	22 (64.7%)	8 (23.5%)	1 (2.9%)	34

### 1. What makes an Effective Board Chair?

	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent	N/A	Total Responses
The degree to which this session was beneficial?	3 (8.6%)	9 (25.7%)	10 (28.6%)	9 (25.7%)	4 (11.4%)	0 (0.0%)	35

### 2. Gender and Sexual Diversity

	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent	N/A	Total Responses
Provided awareness on this topic?	0 (0.0%)	2 (5.7%)	6 (17.1%)	15 (42.9%)	11 (31.4%)	1 (2.9%)	35
The degree to which this session was beneficial?	0 (0.0%)	3 (9.4%)	7 (21.9%)	14 (43.8%)	7 (21.9%)	1 (3.1%)	32

### 3. Dual Credits

	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent	N/A	Total Responses
The degree to which this session was beneficial?	0 (0.0%)	4 (11.4%)	16 (45.7%)	9 (25.7%)	5 (14.3%)	1 (2.9%)	35
Promoted awareness, useful dialogue and discussion.	0 (0.0%)	6 (18.8%)	14 (43.8%)	8 (25.0%)	4 (12.5%)	0 (0.0%)	32

### 4. Saskatchewan Professional Teachers Regulatory Board.

	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent	N/A	Total Responses
Provided a beneficial update on the work to date.	3 (8.6%)	9 (25.7%)	11 (31.4%)	8 (22.9%)	1 (2.9%)	3 (8.6%)	35
The degree to which this session was beneficial?	4 (12.5%)	8 (25.0%)	13 (40.6%)	4 (12.5%)	0 (0.0%)	3 (9.4%)	32

### 5. Open Forum

	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent	N/A	Total Responses
The degree to which this item promotes awareness and dialogue?	3 (9.1%)	5 (15.2%)	10 (30.3%)	3 (9.1%)	0 (0.0%)	12 (36.4%)	33

	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent	N/A	Total Responses
1. Use of time and pace of activities	0 (0.0%)	1 (2.9%)	13 (38.2%)	18 (52.9%)	1 (2.9%)	1 (2.9%)	34

	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent	N/A	Total Responses
2. Event location (Doubletree by Hilton Hotel)	0 (0.0%)	2 (5.7%)	8 (22.9%)	17 (48.6%)	8 (22.9%)	0 (0.0%)	35

	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent	N/A	Total Responses
3. Format created sufficient opportunity for membership voice	1 (2.9%)	1 (2.9%)	11 (31.4%)	13 (37.1%)	4 (11.4%)	5 (14.3%)	35

	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent	N/A	Total Responses
4. Meals	0 (0.0%)	1 (2.9%)	7 (20.0%)	20 (57.1%)	7 (20.0%)	0 (0.0%)	35

**PLEASE PROVIDE ANY OTHER COMMENTS.**

The 8 response(s) to this question can be found in the appendix.

## Appendix

### PLEASE PROVIDE ANY OTHER COMMENTS. |

#	Response
1.	Member's Council is a tough crowd for speakers, with a wide variety of experience and understanding of sector issues present in the room. Even so, I thought I saw evidence of lack of preparedness and "Give and Get" rather than engaging, discussion-provoking presentations.
2.	<p>There were a few sessions where I didn't think the presenter connected well with the audience. Rob DeRooy's presentation on what makes an effective Board Chair seemed to have an urban bias to it and that presentation did not consider the Saskatchewan small school division context.</p> <p>The presentation on the Saskatchewan Professional Teachers Regulatory Board was far too detailed for a trustee presentation. I have always found Trevor Smith very helpful in my role as a Director, but I don't think the trustee audience was connected to the presentation.</p> <p>I found the presentation on Gender and Sexual Diversity quite interesting, as it challenged me to think outside of my comfort zone.</p>
3.	I think the Effective Board Chair presentation would be better before our organizational meetings and for all trustees. That way anyone considering taking on the Chair position will have a better idea of what is involved.
4.	<p>I find the hotel itself, very confusing. Room was small for the amount of participants. Sound was good.</p> <p>Effective Board Chair - not earth shattering information; I think we know what qualities are needed - could have had more in presentation on how to use qualities.</p> <p>GSD - great speakers and well versed on topic and good presenters.</p> <p>Dual Credits - not applicable yet to our Division so n/a</p> <p>STPRB - he was not a good presenter, seemed unorganized. Followed his presentation while on the website; so not new information and we could have informed ourselves. Might be a topic for an update after they have been running a year or so....</p>
5.	<p>Possible future topics for Member's Council:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- student transience</li><li>- academic enrichment for students achieving @ high levels</li><li>- legal matters</li><li>- funding model (changes)</li><li>- intensive needs students &amp; inclusion</li></ul>
6.	Overall I enjoyed the sessions. I was disappointed with the presenter of the session on the Sask Provincial Teachers Regulatory Board. I believe that the information would have been very good if presented in a more engaging manner.
7.	THE MORE EFFECTIVE BOARD CHAIR WOULD HAVE BEEN MORE BENFICIAL AT THE START OF A BOARD MEMBER TERM NOT TOWARDS THE END
8.	Thank you for organizing this event. Overall it is very beneficial to meet at this time and appreciate lining up the speakers - except Teacher Regulatory!!