

# Spring Members' Council 2014

## Title

Response	Chart	Percentage	Count
Board Member		77.8%	21
Administrator		22.2%	6
Other		0.0%	0
		<b>Total Responses</b>	<b>27</b>

## Overall Rating

Response	Chart	Percentage	Count
Poor		0.0%	0
Fair		3.4%	1
Good		20.7%	6
Very Good		51.7%	15
Excellent		24.1%	7
		<b>Total Responses</b>	<b>29</b>

## 1. SUMA Presentation

	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent	N/A	Total Responses
Increased your knowledge of SUMA Governance.	0 (0.0%)	2 (6.9%)	6 (20.7%)	17 (58.6%)	3 (10.3%)	1 (3.4%)	29
Was insightful in light of the SSBA Governance review underway.	1 (3.6%)	2 (7.1%)	8 (28.6%)	13 (46.4%)	2 (7.1%)	2 (7.1%)	28

## 2. Sector Plan Session

	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent	N/A	Total Responses
You understood the draft sector plan.	0 (0.0%)	1 (3.7%)	6 (22.2%)	9 (33.3%)	11 (40.7%)	0 (0.0%)	27
The degree to which the format allowed for dialogue.	0 (0.0%)	2 (7.7%)	8 (30.8%)	9 (34.6%)	7 (26.9%)	0 (0.0%)	26

## 3. Locally Determined Terms and Conditions

	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent	N/A	Total Responses
The degree to which this session was beneficial.	2 (6.9%)	5 (17.2%)	8 (27.6%)	11 (37.9%)	1 (3.4%)	2 (6.9%)	29
You understand the view of other boards on this topic.	2 (7.1%)	5 (17.9%)	8 (28.6%)	10 (35.7%)	1 (3.6%)	2 (7.1%)	28

## 4. Student First Advisors

	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent	N/A	Total Responses
Provided a beneficial update on their work to date.	0 (0.0%)	4 (15.4%)	7 (26.9%)	7 (26.9%)	7 (26.9%)	1 (3.8%)	26
You understand the role of the Student First Advisors.	0 (0.0%)	2 (7.7%)	8 (30.8%)	7 (26.9%)	8 (30.8%)	1 (3.8%)	26

## 5. Open Forum

	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent	N/A	Total Responses
The degree to which this session was beneficial.	1 (4.0%)	5 (20.0%)	9 (36.0%)	6 (24.0%)	2 (8.0%)	2 (8.0%)	25

	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Responses
1. Use of time and pace of activities.	0 (0.0%)	2 (7.1%)	8 (28.6%)	13 (46.4%)	5 (17.9%)	28

	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Responses
2. Event location (Travelodge Hotel)	0 (0.0%)	1 (3.8%)	11 (42.3%)	10 (38.5%)	4 (15.4%)	26

	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Responses
3. Format created sufficient opportunity for membership voice.	0 (0.0%)	1 (3.7%)	10 (37.0%)	10 (37.0%)	6 (22.2%)	27

	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Responses
4. Meals	0 (0.0%)	2 (6.9%)	11 (37.9%)	12 (41.4%)	4 (13.8%)	29

### Please provide any other comments.

The 15 response(s) to this question can be found in the appendix.

## Appendix

Please provide any other comments. |

#	Response
1.	The opportunity for guided discussion and dialogue is so important. As we move forward with the new sector plan this will be critical. Thanks for the excellent work organizing this.
2.	The space available was fairly tight quarters. Nice to see a large attendance but a larger room would have been more suitable.
3.	One of the most open dialogues I've seen from the SSBA
4.	Was very well organized, things went very smoothly, I think.
5.	Thanks for an effective Members Council!
6.	Locally determined terms and conditions was squished-not enough time to discuss.
7.	The open forum is a good idea... we will have to give the membership time to see the value of this opportunity.
8.	There was not sufficient time for the LDTC section, but that was due to the length of the Sector Plan Section. In my opinion, the Sector Plan Section was VERY important, so I appreciated the extra time. However, that led to some time restraints in the LDTC section. That happens at these type of meetings- it is very difficult to discern the length of a session when there are so many presenters, and such an important topic for Boards. There was also too much time allotted for the open forum at the end, but again, difficult to plan for such an open session. Overall, it was a very informative and interesting Members' Council. Thanks to all who work so diligently in the planning and execution of this event.
9.	Would have liked more time to discuss
10.	I found the whole members' Council excellent. Thank you for your work on this.
11.	Was not able to attend entire event as had other previous engagements.
12.	Let's consider a one day event. Thursday evening was about an hour long and Friday was from 9-2. Although it is nice to be done early, we really could have been more time and cost efficient if it would have ran from 9-330 and we could have easily covered the topics. Anyone within a 2 hour radius could have driven in and saved indemnity and hotel costs.  Having Dan F there was good.  Not sure that it is really "our" document since it was truly Ministry driven!  Changing the governance structure will be a sensitive issue and needs feedback from all boards
13.	This was one of the best events in recent times. Thank you to everyone involved in organizing and contributing to the event.  Well done SSBA!  md

14. I was disappointed in the session on Local determined terms and conditions. The time frame was shortened because of the previous session going long. Discussion at our table was with only one other board so we didn't get a good exchange of ideas with other boards. In my opinion we needed an open discussion guided by the SSBA to bring out some ideas that all boards could take home and consider as we develop as common a position as possible that can be presented to the Ministry as they move to the next step in building an equitable formula. The open session unfortunately didn't generate much discussion.

15. One of the better member councils that I have attended